1		
2		
3		
4		
5		JS-6
6		
7		
8	UNITED STA	ATES DISTRICT COURT
9	CENTRAL DI	STRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10		
11	KELLY G. EMLING,) Case No. CV 23-00601 FMO (ASx)
12	Plaintiff,	
13	V.	ORDER DISMISSING ACTION WITHOUT PREJUDICE
14	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, et al.,) PREJUDICE
15	Defendants.	
16		.)
17	Having been advised by counsel t	that the above-entitled action has been settled, IT IS
18	ORDERED that the above-captioned ac	ction is hereby dismissed without costs and without
19	prejudice to the right, upon good cause shown by no later than thirty (30) days, to re-open the	
20	action if settlement is not consummated. T	he court retains full jurisdiction over this action and this
21	Order shall not prejudice any party to this action. Failure to re-open or seek an extension of time	
22	to re-open the action by the deadline set forth above shall be deemed as consent by the parties	
23	to dismissal of the action with prejudice. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b); Link v. Wabash R.R. Co., 370	
24	U.S. 626, 629-30, 82 S.Ct. 1386, 1388 (1	962).
25	Dated this 26 th day of October, 2023.	
26		/s/
27		Fernando M. Olguin United States District Judge
28		